

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Hateny and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bo. 1450
Alexingria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,197	06/29/2001	Petrus Lambertus Adriaanus Roelse	NL000365	7563
24737	7590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			SHIFERAW, ELENI A	
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	01 MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	.*		2136	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		•	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/896,197	09/896,197 ROELSE, PETRUS LAMBERTI ADRIAANUS	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress
HE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods:	ollowing replies: (1) an ameno Notice of Appeal (with appea npliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dment, affidavit, or other evi al fee) in compliance with 37	dence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date	=	family in the first mainstine cultish.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later			ever is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		N THE FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0 extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CF n and the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply originally	of the fee. The appropriate extensions set in the final Office action; or (sion fee under 37 2) as set forth in (b
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissa	I of the appeal.
MENDMENTS The proposed exceedes and (a) filed offer a final rejection			d b
. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a). They raise new issues that would require further			a because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be		(000 110 12 001011),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	• •	terially reducing or simplifyi	ng the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		finally rejected claims.	
. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		f Non-Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324).
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 	e allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amend	Iment canceling
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is particles as follows:		b) 🛛 will be entered and a	n explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-13 and 15-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE ∴ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of file	ing a Notice of Anneal, but n	rior to the date of filing a bri	of will not be

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet

12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. ☐ Other: _____.

919/25

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

See Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Regarding claim 2, Heys teaches an efficient implementation of a secure block cipher if the S-boxes are carefully/appropriately selected and the cipher is strengthened by replacing the permutation of bits between S-box rounds (see page 1 col. 1 lines 1-16, and col. 2 lines 15-27). Regarding claim 13, Heys teaches randomly selecting a permutation from a stored set of permutation each time before using the S-boxes to convert an input data block into an output data block (see page 1 col. 2 lines 15-27 and page 2 col. 1 lines 5-16). Regarding claim 18, Heys teaches selecting S-boxes depending upon the received signal information and replacing the permutation between rounds of S-boxes to improve the security and the cipher is strengthened by replacing the permutation of bits between S-box rounds (see page 1 col. 1 lines 1-16, col. 2 lines 15-27, and page 4 col. 1 lines 4-31).